

APPLICATION NO.

10/662,008

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PAPER NUMBER

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

PG3411US2 8593

EXAMINER

EREZO, DARWIN P

GLAXOSMITHKLINE
CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, MAI B475
FIVE MOORE DR., PO BOX 13398
RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709-3398

FILING DATE

09/11/2003

3731

ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 07/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

Michael Thomas Riebe

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)	/ 1
Office Action Summary		10/662,008		RIEBE ET AL.	
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Darwin P. Er	ezo	3731	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communications	n appears on the co	over sheet with the c	correspondence address	;
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any earn	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Countries of the substitution of the provisions of 37 Countries of the substitution of the provisions of 37 Countries of the substitution of the provisions of 37 Countries of the substitution of the substitution of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, on. To a reply within the statutor period will apply and will extend the applicate statute, cause the applicate.	however, may a reply be ting y minimum of thirty (30) day pire SIX (6) MONTHS from ion to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communi D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	11 September 200	<u>)3</u> .		
,—	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. ✓ 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ✓ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ✓ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. ✓ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ✓ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 				
Applicat	ion Papers			•	
10)	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the of the oath or declaration is objected to by the specification of the oath or declaration is objected to by the specification is objected to by the example of the specification is objected to by the Example of the specification is objected to by the Example of the specification is objected to by the Example of the specification is objected to by the Example of the specification is objected to by the Example of the specification is objected to by the Example of the specification is objected to by the Example of the specification is objected to by the Example of the specification is objected to by the example of the specification is objected to by the example of the specification is objected to be a specification of the specification is objected to be a specification of the specification of the specification is objected to by the specification is objected to be a specification of the specific	accepted or b) to the drawing(s) be loorrection is required	held in abeyance. Se if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/646270. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notice 3) Information	ot(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-6) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/er No(s)/Mail Date 9/11/03;4/2/04.	_	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D Notice of Informal I Other:		

r ,

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6,253,762 to Britto in view of US 5,490,497 to Chippendale et al.

Britto teaches an aerosol container/inhalation device comprising a vial body containing an aerosol formulation of a medicament (fluticasone propionate; col. 2, lines 45-55) in a hydrogen-containing fluorocarbon liquid propellant (1,1,1,2-tetrafluoroethane; col. 4, lines 18-20) that is free of adjuvants; a valve 3 for dispensing a metered amount of the aerosol; wherein the valve is composed of non-fluorinated polymers (acetal; col. 4, line 57) and fluorinated polymers (PTFE; col. 4, line 67); wherein a part of the surface of the valve is in contact with the fluorinated polymer; wherein the polymer is plasma coated. Britto is silent with regards to the specifics of the valve.

Chippendale teaches a well-known valve in the art formed from plastic and comprising a valve body defining a metering chamber 2 configured to contain a metered amount of an aerosol formulation; a transfer passage 5 through which the metered amount of the aerosol formulation is able to pass from a vial body into the metering

Application/Control Number: 10/662,008

Art Unit: 3731

chamber; and dispensing means 6 which enables the metered amount of the aerosol formulation to be dispensed form the metering chamber.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the valve of Chippendale in the device of Britto because a valve having a metering valve, transfer passage and dispensing means is well known in the art and would be usable with the invention of Britto. Furthermore, Britto teaches the process of coating the internal surfaces of any well known canisters having a metering valve.

As to claims 2 and 13, Britto is silent with regards to the weight percentage of the fluorinated polymer. However, Britto teaches that the fluorinated polymer is coated onto the valve formed from non-fluorinated polymer. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a coating of about 5% weight of the valve since discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

As to claims 5 and 16, it should be noted that the claims are directed towards a product by process claim, therefore, the claim is not limited to the manipulation of the recited step, only the structure implied by the step.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Darwin P. Erezo whose telephone number is (571) 272-4695. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-4:00).

Art Unit: 3731

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

de

GLENN K. DAWSON PRIMARY EXAMELED